## Exam Probability Measure

## Gabor Szabó

## August 25, 2020

- (a) Suppose that G is a countable group, with the σ-algebra 2<sup>Ω</sup>. Describe in full detail all the translation-invariant measures, i.e., if µ : G → [0, ∞] is a measure, then for every A ⊆ G, µ(A) = µ(gA) for all g ∈ G.
  - (b) Consider the measure space  $\mathbb{Q}$  with its Borel- $\sigma$ -algebra. Does there exist something like a "*Lebesgue measure* on  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?". Justify your answer!

If needed, explain what goes wrong in the construction analogous to the construction of the Lebesgue measure on the real line.

2. Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a Lebesgue measurable subset with  $\lambda(A) < \infty$ . Show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist pairwise disjoint half-open intervals  $I_1, \ldots, I_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that for  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^n I_k$ , it holds that  $\lambda(A \Delta E) \le \varepsilon$ .

(Hint: Remember the definition of the Lebesgue outer measure.)

- 3. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a Lebesgue integrable function.
  - (a) Show for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  that there exists a compactly supported continuous  $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - h| d\lambda \le \epsilon$$

(**Hint:** Assume first that f is a characteristic function on a Lebesgue measurable set A. You can use the result of this claim in the second part of the problem.)

(b) Prove the following limit equality:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int |f(x) - f(x+t)| d\lambda(x) = 0$$

4. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability measure space and let  $A_n \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  be a sequence of independent events. We define the following real random variable  $Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_k}$  as well as the probability average  $p_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k)$ . Show that  $Y_n - p_n$  converges to zero in probability.

Is this a special case of the weak law of large numbers? Explain! If it is relevant, describe which assumptions need to be added to make it a special case of the weak law of large numbers.