

# Theoretical Nuclear Physics Formularium

Thibaut Wouters

June 2022

## 1 First and second quantized operators

We started the course with direct product states, *i.e.*

$$|1 : \alpha, 2 : \beta, \dots\rangle, \quad (1)$$

which are orthonormalized, such that for example

$$\langle 1 : \alpha, 2 : \beta, 3 : \gamma, \dots | 1 : \mu, 2 : \nu, 3 : \rho, \dots \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\mu} \delta_{\beta\nu} \delta_{\gamma\rho} \dots \quad (2)$$

Slater determinants are defined as

$$|\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_N\rangle = \sqrt{N!} \mathcal{A} |1 : \alpha_1, \dots, N : \alpha_N\rangle, \quad (3)$$

with  $\mathcal{A}$  the anti-symmetrizer operator.

A  $k$ -body operator  $K$ , in first quantization, is defined via its action

$$|1 : \alpha, 2 : \beta, \dots\rangle \mapsto K |1 : \alpha, 2 : \beta, \dots\rangle, \quad (4)$$

and we write

$$K = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i \neq j \neq \dots \neq l}^N k(i, j, \dots, l), \quad (5)$$

where  $k(i, j, \dots, l)$  acts non trivially as

$$|i : \alpha, j : \beta, \dots, l : \delta\rangle \mapsto k(i, j, \dots, l) |i : \alpha, j : \beta, \dots, l : \delta\rangle \quad (6)$$

In second quantization, the canonical commutation relations are

$$\{a_\mu, a_\nu^+\} = \delta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (7)$$

Operators are decomposed as (watch out: reversed order for annihilator indices)

$$K = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\alpha \dots \beta \gamma \dots \delta} k_{\alpha \dots \beta \gamma \dots \delta} a_{\alpha}^{+} \dots a_{\beta}^{+} a_{\delta} \dots a_{\gamma}, \quad (8)$$

where the matrix elements are

$$k_{\alpha \dots \beta \gamma \dots \delta} = \langle i : \alpha, \dots, l : \beta | k(i, \dots, l) | i : \gamma, \dots, l : \delta \rangle. \quad (9)$$

For example, 1-body operators in first quantization are written as

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^N f(i), \quad (10)$$

and in second quantization are written as

$$F = \sum_{\alpha \beta} f_{\alpha \beta} a_{\alpha}^{+} a_{\beta}. \quad (11)$$

To go from 1<sup>st</sup> to 2<sup>nd</sup> quantization in this case, use that

$$f_{\alpha \beta} = \langle 1 : \alpha | f(1) | 1 : \beta \rangle. \quad (12)$$

A useful result from Practice 1 is

$$[F, a_{\alpha_i}^{+}] = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha \alpha_i} a_{\alpha}^{+}. \quad (13)$$

The local density operator is

$$\rho(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_{\vec{r}}(i), \quad (14)$$

where *e.g.*  $\rho_{\vec{r}}(1)$  is defined in the basis  $|1 : \vec{r} \sigma \tau\rangle$  via

$$\langle 1 : \vec{r}_1 \sigma_1 \tau_1 | \rho_{\vec{r}(1)} | 1 : \vec{r}'_1 \sigma'_1 \tau'_1 \rangle = \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_1) \delta(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}'_1) \delta_{\sigma_1 \sigma'_1} \delta_{\tau_1 \tau'_1}. \quad (15)$$

The number operator is defined in 2<sup>nd</sup> quantization as

$$N = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^{+} a_{\alpha} \quad (16)$$

and has the Slater determinants as eigenstates.

The kinetic energy operator of one particle has matrix elements (in an arbitrary basis)

$$t_{\alpha \beta} = \langle 1 : \alpha | t(1) | 1 : \beta \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d\vec{r} \sum_{\sigma \tau} \vec{\nabla} \varphi_{\alpha}^{*}(\vec{r} \sigma \tau) \cdot \vec{\nabla} \varphi_{\beta}(\vec{r} \sigma \tau). \quad (17)$$

The nuclear Hamiltonian in second quantized form is (omitting 3-body interactions)

$$H = T + V = \sum_{\alpha\beta} t_{\alpha\beta} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta} + \left(\frac{1}{2!}\right)^2 \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \bar{v}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\delta} a_{\gamma} + \dots, \quad (18)$$

with antisymmetrized matrix elements:

$$\bar{v}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \equiv v_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - v_{\alpha\beta\delta\gamma}, \quad (19)$$

which, in the above summation, has the symmetries

$$\bar{v}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -\bar{v}_{\alpha\beta\delta\gamma} = -\bar{v}_{\beta\alpha\gamma\delta} = +\bar{v}_{\beta\alpha\delta\gamma}. \quad (20)$$

## 2 Operator relations and basis transformations

Position representation of  $\vec{r}$ ,  $\vec{p}$  operators

$$\hat{\vec{r}} = \vec{r} \times, \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{\vec{p}} = -i\hbar \vec{\nabla}, \quad (22)$$

$$\langle \vec{r} | \hat{\vec{r}} | \vec{r}' \rangle = \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \vec{r}, \quad (23)$$

$$\langle \vec{r} | \hat{\vec{p}} | \vec{r}' \rangle = -i\hbar \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \vec{\nabla}. \quad (24)$$

Completeness relation on  $\mathcal{H}_1$ :

$$\mathbb{1} = \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| \quad (25)$$

For a trivial Bogoliubov transformation,

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{|\mu\rangle\}, a_{\mu}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'_1 = \{|\lambda\rangle\}, b_{\mu}^{\dagger}, \quad (26)$$

we have the relations

$$|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} |\lambda\rangle \langle \lambda | \mu \rangle \equiv \sum_{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu} |\lambda\rangle, \quad \langle \mu | = \sum_{\lambda} \langle \mu | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | = \sum_{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^* \langle \lambda |, \quad (27)$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu} b_{\lambda}^{\dagger}, \quad a_{\mu} = \sum_{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^* b_{\lambda}. \quad (28)$$

The unitarity of the transformation can be expressed as

$$\sum_{\lambda} C_{\lambda\mu}^* C_{\lambda\mu'} = \delta_{\mu\mu'}, \quad (29)$$

from which the inverse transformation rules can be found, such as for example

$$b_\lambda^+ = \sum_\mu C_{\lambda\mu}^* a_\mu^+. \quad (30)$$

For quasi-particles, a vacuum associated to a complete set of quasi-particle creation and annihilation operators  $\{\beta_\alpha^+, \beta_\alpha\}$  satisfies  $\beta_\alpha |\Phi\rangle = 0$ . General Bogoliubov transformations from  $\{\tilde{\beta}_\alpha, \tilde{\beta}_\alpha^+\}$  to  $\{\beta_\lambda, \beta_\lambda^+\}$  read

$$\beta_\lambda = \sum_\alpha U_{\alpha\lambda}^* \tilde{\beta}_\alpha + V_{\alpha\lambda}^* \tilde{\beta}_\alpha^+, \quad (31)$$

$$\beta_\lambda^+ = \sum_\alpha V_{\alpha\lambda} \tilde{\beta}_\alpha + U_{\alpha\lambda} \tilde{\beta}_\alpha^+, \quad (32)$$

and the inverse

$$\tilde{\beta}_\alpha = \sum_\lambda U_{\alpha\lambda} \beta_\lambda + V_{\alpha\lambda}^* \beta_\lambda^+, \quad (33)$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_\alpha^+ = \sum_\lambda V_{\alpha\lambda} \beta_\lambda + U_{\alpha\lambda}^* \beta_\lambda^+. \quad (34)$$

This can be put in matrix form (see notes page 32). Note that Bogoliubov transformations change the vacuum and associated Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_N$ . A trivial transformation (*i.e.*,  $V = 0$ ) does not change the associated vacua.

### 3 Wick theorem

Normal product: bring all annihilation operators to the right of all creation operators, and multiply by the signature of the permutation. Note: this depends on the set of operators and hence on the vacuum  $|\Phi\rangle$ . By definition, we have

$$\langle\Phi|: ABC\dots Z :|\Phi\rangle = 0. \quad (35)$$

Contraction: the difference between an operator and its normal product, *i.e.*

$$\overline{AB} = AB - :AB: . \quad (36)$$

We have

$$\overline{AB} = \frac{\langle\Phi|AB|\Phi\rangle}{\langle\Phi|\Phi\rangle}. \quad (37)$$

Wick theorem: page 56 of the notes.

Generalized Wick theorem: page 63 of the notes.

## 4 Slater determinants and particle/hole excitations

Working in the basis  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{b_\alpha, b_\alpha^+\}$ , a Slater determinant of  $\mathcal{H}_N$  is defined like<sup>1</sup>

$$|\Phi\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N b_i^+ |0\rangle . \quad (38)$$

The conventions used are such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} a, b, c, \dots & \text{unoccupied/particle states} \\ i, j, k, \dots & \text{occupied/hole states} \end{array}$$

Taking the Slater determinant as Fermi vacuum and these conventions, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{annihilators:} & b_i^+ \quad b_a \\ \text{creators:} & b_i \quad b_a^+ \end{array}$$

Particle/hole excitations: (watch out for the reversed order in the second part)

$$|\Phi_{ij\dots}^{ab\dots}\rangle = b_a^+ b_b^+ \dots b_j b_i |\Phi\rangle . \quad (39)$$

In an arbitrary basis  $\{a_\lambda, a_\lambda^+\}$ , the elementary contractions are<sup>2</sup>

$$\overline{a_\alpha^+ a_\beta^+} = 0 \quad (40)$$

$$\overline{a_\alpha^+ a_\beta} = \rho_{\beta\alpha} \quad (41)$$

$$\overline{a_\alpha a_\beta^+} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \rho_{\alpha\beta} \quad (42)$$

$$\overline{a_\alpha a_\beta} = 0 \quad (43)$$

In basis  $\mathcal{B}_1$ , this is simplified as  $\rho$  becomes block-diagonal, *i.e.*:

$$\rho_{\beta\alpha} = \delta_{\beta i} \delta_{\alpha i} . \quad (44)$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\overline{a_\alpha^+ a_\beta} = \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (45)$$

$$\overline{a_\alpha a_\beta^+} = \delta_{\alpha\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (46)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We are following the conventions of the lecture notes. Note that one should swap  $a \leftrightarrow b$  when reading Practice 3.

<sup>2</sup>Watch out for the reversed order of indices in the definition of  $\rho$ .

## 5 Mean-field and Hartree-Fock

Using Wick theorem, the Hamiltonian in an arbitrary basis  $\{a_\lambda, a_\lambda^+\}$  reads

$$H = \sum_{\alpha\beta} t_{\alpha\beta} \rho_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \bar{v}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rho_{\gamma\alpha} \rho_{\delta\beta} + \sum_{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta} : a_\alpha^+ a_\beta : + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \bar{v}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} : a_\alpha^+ a_\beta^+ a_\delta a_\gamma : , \quad (47)$$

where (see also equations (17) and (19))

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = t_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\gamma\delta} \bar{v}_{\alpha\gamma\beta\delta} \rho_{\delta\gamma} . \quad (48)$$

The HF partitioning is  $H = H_0 + H_1$ , with

$$H_0 = H_0^{0b} + H_0^{1b} \quad (49)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha\beta} t_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \bar{v}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \rho_{\gamma\alpha} \rho_{\delta\beta} + \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} : b_{\alpha}^+ b_{\alpha} : \quad (50)$$

$$H_1 = H_1^{1b} + H_1^{2b} \quad (51)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha\beta} \check{h}_{\alpha\beta} : b_{\alpha}^+ b_{\beta} : + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \bar{v}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} : b_{\alpha}^+ b_{\beta}^+ b_{\delta} b_{\gamma} : , \quad (52)$$

where  $H^{nb}$  denotes the  $n$ -body part of the operator. Here, we defined

$$\check{h}_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} - e_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta} . \quad (53)$$

The Fermi vacuum from equation (38) and particle/hole excitations from (39) are orthonormalized eigenstates of  $H_0$  in  $\mathcal{H}_N$ , with eigenvalues

$$\epsilon + (e_a + e_b + \dots) - (e_i + e_j + \dots) , \quad \epsilon = H_0^{0b} . \quad (54)$$

Koopman's theorem: Within HF, we have

$$\langle \Phi^a | H | \Phi^a \rangle - \langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle = e_a , \quad (55)$$

$$\langle \Phi_i | H | \Phi_i \rangle - \langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle = -e_i . \quad (56)$$

Brillouin's theorem: Within HF,  $H_1$  does not couple  $|\Phi\rangle$  to 1-particle/1-hole excitations:

$$\langle \Phi_i^a | H_1 | \Phi \rangle = 0 . \quad (57)$$